

Comparing Two Treatments via Simulation

Name: _____ Date: _____ Score: _____ / 33

Q Quick Review

Suppose you run an experiment with two treatments and find a difference in outcomes. How do you tell whether the difference is *real* or just a fluke of which subjects landed in which group?

The null hypothesis H_0 says the two treatments have *the same* effect. Any observed difference between groups is just noise from the random assignment.

The simulation idea (randomization test, also called a permutation test). (1) Pool all the outcomes together, ignoring which treatment each subject got. (2) Randomly re-deal the subjects into two groups of the same sizes. (3) Compute the simulated difference in group means. (4) Repeat many times to build a **null distribution** – the distribution of differences we’d expect if H_0 were true.

The p -value. The fraction of simulated differences as extreme as (or more extreme than) what we actually observed. A small p -value means our observation would be unusual under H_0 , providing evidence against it.

Reading the result. If $p \leq 0.05$ (a common threshold), we say the result is *statistically significant* and we have evidence against H_0 . If p is larger, we don’t have evidence to reject H_0 – but that’s not the same as proving H_0 true.

Why simulate? The randomization test mirrors the actual random-assignment mechanism of the experiment, so it makes very few assumptions. It works even for small samples and odd-shaped data.

Common slips. Confusing the null distribution (what we’d see under H_0) with the data distribution. Treating a non-significant result as proof of no effect (it’s just *absence of evidence*). Reporting “the p -value is the probability H_0 is true” (wrong – p is computed *assuming* H_0 , not about it).

PRACTICE

Identify the null/alternative, the null distribution, and how to read the simulation result.

1. State the null hypothesis when comparing two treatments. _____
2. What does a simulation-based test produce? _____
3. What’s the alternative hypothesis H_a ? _____
4. In a randomization test, what does each “shuffle” do? _____
5. The p -value is the chance of a result as extreme as ours, assuming what? _____
6. Small p -value (e.g., 0.02) means _____
7. From the simulation summary below, estimate the p -value and decide whether it is significant at $\alpha = 0.05$. _____

| Observed diff. | Sims \geq obs. | Total sims |
|----------------|------------------|------------|
| 2.8 | 60 | 1000 |

8. True or false: a p -value of 0.30 proves H_0 . _____
9. Why use a randomization test instead of a formula-based test? _____
10. Scenario A in the table records a randomization test. Estimate its p -value. _____

| Scenario | Observed diff. | Sims \geq obs. | Total sims |
|----------|----------------|------------------|------------|
| A | 3.5 | 20 | 1000 |
| B | 1.2 | 400 | 1000 |



11. Scenario B in the table above records a second test. Estimate its p -value. _____

| Scenario | Observed diff. | Sims \geq obs. | Total sims |
|----------|----------------|------------------|------------|
| A | 3.5 | 20 | 1000 |
| B | 1.2 | 400 | 1000 |

- 12. To get a more reliable p estimate, run _____
- 13. What does the null distribution *not* depend on? _____
- 14. True or false: random assignment is what justifies the simulation. _____
- 15. After rejecting H_0 , we conclude _____
- 16. True or false: the p -value tells you the probability the null is true. _____
- 17. What does “statistically significant at $\alpha = 0.05$ ” mean? _____
- 18. A larger observed difference \Rightarrow smaller or larger p ? _____
- 19. If two treatments truly have no difference, what should the long-run sample mean of simulated p -values be? _____
- 20. Why does a randomization test work even for small samples? _____

◆ Word Problems

- 21. A teacher splits 20 students at random into two groups of 10. Group A uses an old textbook; Group B uses a new one. After a unit, the mean score in A is 74 and in B is 80, a difference of 6 points. She runs a simulation under H_0 (no difference) and finds that 35 out of 1000 simulated differences were 6 or more. What is the estimated p -value, and what should she conclude at $\alpha = 0.05$? _____
- 22. A pharmaceutical researcher tests a new drug against a placebo. The observed difference in recovery rates is 4 percentage points. A simulation under H_0 shows that 250 of 1000 shuffles produce a difference of 4 percentage points or more. What’s the estimated p -value and the right conclusion at $\alpha = 0.05$? _____
- 23. A coach randomly assigns 12 runners to two training plans, 6 in each. Plan X mean time: 58 seconds; Plan Y mean time: 55 seconds. Difference: 3 seconds. A randomization test finds the p -value is 0.42. Explain in plain language what this p -value means. _____
- 24. A researcher reports: “Treatment B produced a 2-point higher mean than Treatment A ($p = 0.003$).” A reporter writes: “There is a 0.3% chance that Treatments A and B are identical.” Why is the reporter’s interpretation wrong? _____

Additional Practice

- 25. Find the mean of 4, 6, 8, 10. _____
- 26. Find the median of 3, 9, 4, 10, 7. _____
- 27. Find the range of 12, 5, 9, 20. _____
- 28. Find the mode of 2, 3, 3, 5, 8. _____
- 29. Find z for $x = 72$, mean 60, standard deviation 6. _____
- 30. Interpret $z = -1.5$. _____
- 31. Predicted y for $\hat{y} = 2x + 5$ at $x = 6$. _____



32. Residual if actual = 20 and predicted = 17.

33. Positive association: slope sign?



Answer Keys

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1. the two treatments have the same effect | 13. the actual treatment labels |
| 2. a null distribution of test statistics | 14. True |
| 3. the treatments have different effects | 15. the treatments likely differ |
| 4. re-deals subjects into the two groups | 16. False |
| 5. H_0 is true | 17. $p \leq 0.05$ |
| 6. evidence against H_0 | 18. smaller |
| 7. $p \approx 0.06$; not significant | 19. uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$ |
| 8. False | 20. it doesn't rely on the CLT |
| 9. fewer assumptions; mirrors the actual assignment | 21. $p \approx 0.035$; reject H_0 |
| 10. 0.02 | 22. $p \approx 0.25$; do not reject H_0 |
| 11. 0.40 | 23. $p = 0.42$; not statistically significant |
| 12. more simulations | 24. p is not the probability that H_0 is true |

Additional Practice Answers

- | | |
|--------|-----------------------|
| 25. 7 | 30. 1.5 SD below mean |
| 26. 7 | 31. 17 |
| 27. 15 | 32. 3 |
| 28. 3 | 33. positive |
| 29. 2 | |

Additional Practice: Answers for all numbered items, including the added practice, are shown in the grid above.

Step-by-Step Explanations

- A careful way to see it: H_0 : no real treatment effect. Any observed difference is just from random assignment. That gives a quick check on the answer.
- The simulated distribution of what the test statistic would look like if the null were true.
- Some real treatment difference exists. Could be directional (greater than / less than) or two-sided (any difference).
- Pool all outcomes, then re-assign them to treatment groups at random. Compute the resulting difference each time.
- A careful way to see it: p is computed *under the null*. It's not the probability the null is true. That gives a quick check on the answer.
- Our observation would be unusual if H_0 held. We interpret that as evidence the null is wrong.
- One steady path is: $p \approx \frac{60}{1000} = 0.06$. Since $0.06 > 0.05$, it just misses the threshold – not statistically significant at $\alpha = 0.05$. That gives a quick check on the answer.
- A large p just means we don't have evidence against H_0 . Absence of evidence isn't evidence of absence.
- Randomization tests work for small samples, weird distributions, and unusual statistics where the formula-based approach would be shaky.
- Scenario A: $p \approx \frac{20}{1000} = 0.02$. Below 0.05, so we'd reject H_0 at the 5% level.
- One steady path is: Scenario B: $p \approx \frac{400}{1000} = 0.40$. Way above 0.05 – no evidence against H_0 . That gives a quick check on the answer.
- More iterations smooth out the simulated p . 10,000 or more is common for reliable estimates near the 0.05 boundary.
- Once we pool the outcomes, the original labels are discarded. The null distribution comes from random reshufflings.
- The simulation mimics the actual random-assignment mechanism. Without random assignment, the test's logic is shaky.
- Statistically significant difference \Rightarrow evidence of a real treatment effect. Doesn't tell us the size of the effect.
- Start with the key idea: p is computed *assuming* H_0 . It's $P(\text{data as extreme} \mid H_0)$, not $P(H_0 \mid \text{data})$. That gives a quick check on the answer.
- Means the p -value is at or below the threshold α – evidence strong enough to reject H_0 by our chosen rule.
- More extreme observations are less likely under H_0 , so they get smaller p -values.
- Under H_0 , p is uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$ – so any value is equally likely.
- Randomization tests use the actual sampled values – no normality or large- n assumptions are needed.
- A careful way to see it: $p \approx 35/1000 = 0.035$. That's below $\alpha = 0.05$, so she rejects H_0 and concludes there's statistically significant evidence that the new textbook produces higher mean scores than the old one. (Note: the practical significance – 6 points – is also worth thinking about. Statistical significance doesn't automatically mean practical importance.) That gives a quick check on the answer.
- Keep the rule visible: $p \approx 250/1000 = 0.25$. That's far above $\alpha = 0.05$, so we fail to reject H_0 – there isn't statistically significant evidence that the new drug works better. Important caveat: this is *not* the same as proving the drug is ineffective. The sample might be too small to detect a real but modest effect. The next step would be a larger study. That gives a quick check on the answer.
- Under the null hypothesis (the two plans are equally effective), 42% of random shuffles of the 12 runners would produce a difference at least as large as the observed 3 seconds. That's very common, so a 3-second gap is well within what chance can produce. The coach can't claim Plan Y is better based on this study – the effect isn't distinguishable from noise. A larger sample might tell a different story.
- The p -value is computed *assuming* H_0 is true and measures how surprising the observed difference would be under that assumption. It is $P(\text{data as extreme} \mid H_0)$, not $P(H_0 \mid \text{data})$. The reporter is flipping the conditional. A correct rewrite: "If the two treatments were truly identical, a difference as large as the one observed would happen only 0.3% of the time – so the observed difference is strong evidence the treatments do differ."



Scan Me

Build Algebra Confidence From Pre-Algebra Through Algebra II



The Complete Algebra Success Bundle

Pre-Algebra, Algebra I, and Algebra II in one clear path

Friendly lessons, focused practice, and full-review support for every stage.



Scan for the Bundle

6 Books
3 Courses
1 Path

Bundle Value: Six coordinated books help students review missing skills, learn new algebra topics, and practice until the steps feel natural.

Complete Course Path

- ✓ Starts with Pre-Algebra foundations
- ✓ Moves smoothly into Algebra I skills
- ✓ Extends learning through Algebra II topics
- ✓ Great for review, tutoring, and summer study

One bundle, one steady path.

Step-by-Step Lessons

- ✓ Plain-English explanations students can follow
- ✓ Worked examples that show every important step
- ✓ Common mistakes called out before they stick
- ✓ Skill-building practice after each lesson
- ✓ Helpful for independent study or class support

Less guessing. More understanding.

Practice That Sticks

- ✓ Matching practice workbooks for extra repetition
- ✓ Review sets to keep older skills fresh
- ✓ Answer explanations for checking thinking
- ✓ Strong support before tests and final exams
- ✓ Designed to build fluency and confidence

Practice today. Remember tomorrow.

STUDENT FAVORITE • Master Algebra II From the Ground Up



Algebra II for Beginners

Written by a top math teacher & aligned with national and state Algebra II courses. From polynomial functions to logarithms, trigonometry, and rational expressions — explained the easy way.

- ✓ **Complete coverage** of every Algebra II concept — perfect companion to these worksheets
- ✓ **Step-by-step explanations** with worked examples on every topic
- ✓ **QR codes in every chapter** for free video lessons & bonus practice
- ✓ **2 full-length practice tests** with detailed answer keys

- ✓ 100% Guaranteed
- ✓ Lifetime Support
- ✓ Trusted by Teachers

Start Your Algebra Journey Today! →

★ STUDENT'S #1 CHOICE ★

Teacher-recommended • 12,000+ Happy Students

PDF EDITION



Instant download • any device

PAPERBACK



Paperback on Amazon

Hold it in your hands

Pair these free worksheets with *Algebra II for Beginners* and you have a complete self-paced course — concept lessons, daily practice, and full exam-style reviews, all in one path. → EffortlessMath.com/product/algebra-ii-for-beginners